Page 4 of 4

App. No.:

10/709243

Filed:

April 23, 2004

Conf. No.:

3242

REMARKS

In light of the Office Action and the cited art, claim 1 and certain of the dependent claims have been amended to more fully distinguish over the cited art. Although the references applied appear to represent an approach to the problem solved by this invention, they really do not do so in the context of the entire machine. The foregoing amendment attempts to emphasize this.

In applicants' construction the basic windings are formed around pairs of insulator halves that encircle the pole teeth together. The cited art does not show such a basic construction and the Examiner is most respectfully requested to point out where the references alone or in combination show such a basic construction. Although they show arrangements for facilitating the treatment of the wire ends, the basic structures are quite different.

In view of this favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted:

Emest A. Beutler Reg. No. 19901

> Phone (949) 721-1182 Pacific Time